

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,805	01/15/2002	Ping-Ho Chen	JCLA8069	4751
7:	590 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
J.C. Patents, Inc.			SHAFER, RICKY D	
Suite 250 4 Venture			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 92	2618	·	2872	
	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004		4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/047,805	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $f E$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,	·	age
application from the International Bureau	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)		(DTO 446)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/047,805

Art Unit: 2872

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstedt ('302) in view of Kollmorgen ('230) or Clave et al ('236).

Bergstedt discloses a derotation mirror system within a common optical path panoramic stabilized periscope wherein the derotation system comprises a first surface reflecting mirror (D) positioned above a virtual reference surface (the common edge of the first and third reflecting mirrors) at a first included angle from the virtual reference surface, a second surface reflecting mirror (E) perpendicular to the virtual reference surface and a third surface reflecting mirror (F) positioned below the virtual reference surface at a second include angle form the virtual reference surface, wherein an input image is converted to an output image after several reflections via the first, second and third surface reflecting surfaces and the derotation system is capable of effecting a reverse half angle compensation for slew and platform angular rotation, note figures 1-4 along with the associated description thereof, expect for explicitly stating that the first included angle and the second inclined angle are substantially equal to 60 degrees.

Kollmorgen and Clave et al each teach it is known to use a "K-type optical system", wherein said K-type optical system includes a first surface reflecting mirror [(c), (1)], respectively, and a second surface reflecting mirror [(e), (2)], respectively, wherein the first

Application/Control Number: 10/047,805

Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

and second included angles are equal to 60 degrees in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of preventing image rotation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first and the second included angles of Bergstedt to equal 60 degrees, as taught by Kollmorgen and Clave et al, in order to prevent image rotation.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R.D. Shafer at telephone number (571) 272-2320

RDS-

08 March 2004